



the transitional phrase “comprising” as explained by the Federal Circuit in *KCJ Corp. v. Kinetic Concepts, Inc.*, 223 F.3d 1351, 1356 (Fed. Cir. 2000).

New claims 35 and 36 depend from allowable claims 1 and 19, respectively. New claims 35 and 36 are supported by the disclosure in paragraph [0036] of the specification that states that “[a] first coating 303 is adjacent to and abuts the first vertical sidewall 202 of the outlet channel. Similarly, a second coating 307 is adjacent to and abuts the second vertical sidewall 264 of the outlet channel.” The two new claims, 35 and 36, have been added in lieu of an equal number of claims, 6 and 23, now having been canceled after the pending final Action. New claims 35 and 36 do not raise any new issues and should be entered and allowed as they depend from allowed claims.

In view of the above Amendment, the pending application is in condition for allowance.

Dated: January 11, 2008

Respectfully submitted,

By / Raj S. Davé /

Raj S. Davé

Registration No.: 42,465

DARBY & DARBY P.C.

P.O. Box 770

Church Street Station

New York, New York 10008-0770

(202) 639-7515

(212) 527-7701 (Fax)

Attorneys/Agents For Intel Corporation